## Franchise Tax Board SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Franchise Tax Board		ANALIOIC	OI AMENDED	BILL
Author: Burton & McPherson	Analyst:	Marion Mann	DeJong Bill N	lumber: SCA 1
Related Bills: See Prior Analysis	Telephone	e: <u>845-6979</u>	Amended Date:	06/04/2003
	Attorney:	Patrick Kusia	k Spons	sor:
SUBJECT: Access to Government Information				
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended				
AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.				
AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended				
FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.				
DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO				
REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED  X December 2, 2002, STILL APPLIES.				
X OTHER - See comments be	low.			
SUMMARY  This is a proposal that would place a constitutional amendment on the ballot concerning the people's				
rights to access certain public information.				
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS				
The June 4, 2003, amendments clarified that this constitutional amendment would not impact the proceedings and records of the Legislature.				
The discussions of "This Constitutional Amendment" and "Implementation Considerations" from the department's analysis of SCA 1 as introduced December 2, 2002, are replaced with the information below. The remainder of the department's analysis of SCA 1 as introduced December 2, 2002, still applies. This analysis replaces the department's analysis of SCA 1 as amended May 27, 2003. The Board's Position remains pending.				
THIS CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT				
This proposal would place a constitutional amendment on the ballot concerning the people's rights to access public information. Specifically, this measure would:				
<ul> <li>Specify that the people have a right to access information concerning the conduct of the people's business.</li> </ul>				
Board Position:		ND	Legislative Director	Date
S		NP NAR PENDING	Brian Putler	06/24/03

Senate Constitutional Amendment 1 (Burton & McPherson) Amended June 4, 2003 Page 2

- Provide that meetings of public bodies and writings of public officials and agencies shall be open to public scrutiny.
- Provide that any statute, court rule, or other authority, including those in effect on the effective date of this constitutional amendment, shall be broadly construed if it effectuates the people's right of access, and narrowly construed if it limits the right of access.
- Provide that a statute, court rule, or other authority adopted after the effective date of this
  constitutional amendment that limits the right of access shall be adopted with findings
  demonstrating the interest protected by the limitation and the need for protecting that interest.
- Provide that this constitutional amendment may not be construed to supersede or modify the
  right to privacy guaranteed by the California Constitution. In addition, the constitutional
  amendment would not affect the construction of any statute, court rule, or other authority to the
  extent that it protects that right to privacy. This would include any statutory procedures
  governing discovery or disclosure of information concerning the official performance or
  professional qualifications of a peace officer.
- Provide that this constitutional amendment would not supersede or modify any existing
  provisions of the California Constitution. This would include the guarantee that a person may
  not be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, or denied equal
  protection of the laws.
- Provide that this constitutional amendment would not repeal or nullify, expressly or by
  implication, any constitutional or statutory exception to the right of access to public records or
  meetings of public bodies that is in effect on the effective date of this constitutional
  amendment. This would extend to any statute protecting the confidentiality of law enforcement
  and prosecution records.
- Provide that this constitutional amendment would not repeal, nullify, supersede, or modify
  protections for the confidentiality of proceedings and records of the Legislature, the Members
  of the Legislature and its employees, committees, and caucuses. Nor would the constitutional
  amendment affect the scope of permitted discovery in judicial or administrative proceedings
  regarding deliberations of the Legislature, the Members of the Legislature and its employees,
  committees, and caucuses.

## **IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS**

Implementing this proposal should not significantly impact the department's programs and operations.

## LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Marion Mann DeJong Brian Putler

Franchise Tax Board Franchise Tax Board

845-6979 845-6333

marion.dejong@ftb.ca.gov brian.putler@ftb.ca.gov